$\begin{array}{c} \text{AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - MIWD (Rev. 10/09)} \\ \text{Case 1.12-cr-00237-RJJ} \quad \text{ECF No. 12 filed 09/27/12} \quad \text{PageID.18} \quad \text{Page 1 of 1} \\ \end{array}$

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V.	0 N 440 00007 D H
	Damian Garcia-De Leon Defendant	Case No. 1:12-cr-00237-RJJ
Δ.	for any distance of the other baselines and the Dell De	.f
	iter conducting a detention nearing under the Bail Re efendant be detained pending trial.	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
inat tino a		indings of Fact
(1)		in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of
()		that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	s death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but in	nvolves:
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm	n or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
	a failure to register under 18 U.S.	
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presuperson or the community. I further find that defenda	imption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe nt has not rebutted that presumption.
	•	ve Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendar	nt has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et	
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption est will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance	ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.
	Alternati	ve Findings (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	
		f the Reasons for Detention
	find that the testimony and information submitted at t a preponderance of the evidence that:	he detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing
1. Defen	ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to co	intest detention at this time.
2. Defen	ndant is subject to an immigration detainer and would	I not be released in any case.
3. Defen	dant may bring the issue of his continuing detention	to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	September 27, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
_		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge